The Honorable John H. Chun 1 The Honorable Michelle L. Peterson 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 AMAZON.COM, INC., a Delaware No. 2:23-cv-00486-JCH-MLP corporation; and AMAZON.COM SERVICES 11 LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation, **DECLARATION OF SCOTT** COMMERSON IN SUPPORT OF 12 Plaintiffs, PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT AND REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF 13 **DEADLINES** v. 14 Individuals and entities doing business as the Amazon Brand Registry Account VIVCIC; 15 and DOES 1-10, 16 Defendants. 17 I, Scott R. Commerson, declare and state as follows: 18 19 1. I am a partner with the law firm Davis Wright Tremaine LLP, which represents 20 Plaintiffs Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Services LLC (collectively, "Amazon") in the 21 above-titled litigation. I am over 18 years of age, and the statements made below are true to the 22 best of my knowledge and belief. 2. 23 Amazon, through its prior counsel at Fenwick & West, LLP, previously served 24 Court-authorized subpoenas on the following financial institutions and email service providers in 25 an effort to identify and locate Defendants: Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas ("Deutsche Bank"), LL Pay U.S., LLC a/k/a LianLian Pay ("LL Pay"), Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft"), 26 27 Payoneer Inc. ("Payoneer"), PingPong Global Solutions, Inc. ("PingPong"), and Wells Fargo Davis Wright Tremaine LLP DECLARATION OF SCOTT COMMERSON - 1 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98104-1640 (2:23-cv-00486-JHC-MLP) 206.622.3150 main · 206.757.7700 fax

Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo").

- 3. As discussed in Amazon's prior status report (Dkt. 19), Amazon, through prior counsel, received (1) responses from Microsoft indicating that they needed at least eight weeks to comply with a subpoena; (2) a response from LL Pay informing Amazon that as a China-based company, it could not produce the requested data due to Chinese security laws and regulations; (3) a response from Wells Fargo that it had no responsive information; (4) a response from Deutsche Bank that it had no responsive information; and (5) a response from First Century Bank, N.A. that it had rejected Amazon's process server's attempt to serve the subpoena. Amazon later determined that First Century Bank provides an ACH service to Payoneer and therefore determined that a separate subpoena was not necessary.
- 4. On December 20, 2023, Microsoft objected to the subpoena and has not provided a substantive response.
- 5. On November 13, 2023, Amazon, through prior counsel, received a production from Payoneer with documents responsive to Amazon's request. Amazon received this production after emailing Payoneer on October 26, 2023, and again on November 13, 2023, in an effort to pursue compliance with the subpoena.
- 6. On October 23, 2023, Amazon's prior counsel received a response via email from PingPong, indicating that PingPong would process the subpoena in the ordinary course of business. Amazon followed up via email with PingPong on October 30, 2023, and again on November 13, 2023, to check on the status of compliance with the subpoena.
- 7. After our firm substituted in as counsel in January 2024, we continued to follow up with PingPong for documents responsive to Amazon's subpoena.
- 8. On February 2, 2024, PingPong provided its initial response to the subpoena, which included the name, phone number, and email addresses of a holder of the account identified in the subpoena. PingPong's initial response did not include the address or country of residence of the account holder.